Journal of Organometallic Chemistry, 405 (1991) 299–307 Elsevier Sequoia S.A., Lausanne JOM 21397

The synthesis and spectral properties of some new cationic mono(but-2-yne) bis(phosphine) complexes  $[W(CO)L_2(S_2CX)(\eta^2-MeC_2Me)][BPh_4] (X = NC_4H_8, NMe_2, NEt_2, N(CH_2Ph)_2 \text{ or } OEt)$ 

Paul K. Baker \* and Kevin R. Flower

Department of Chemistry, University of Wales, Bangor, Gwynedd LL57 2UW (UK) (Received August 23rd, 1990)

#### Abstract

Reactions of the complex [W(CO)(NCMe)( $S_2CX$ )( $\eta^2$ -MeC<sub>2</sub>Me)<sub>2</sub>][BPh<sub>4</sub>] with two equivalents of L (for X = NC<sub>4</sub>H<sub>8</sub>; L = PMe<sub>3</sub>, PMe<sub>2</sub>Ph, PMePh<sub>2</sub>, PPh<sub>3</sub>, PEtPh<sub>2</sub>, PEt<sub>2</sub>Ph, PEt<sub>3</sub>, P<sup>n</sup>Pr<sub>3</sub>, P<sup>n</sup>Bu<sub>3</sub>, PCyPh<sub>2</sub>, PCy<sub>2</sub>Ph, P(CH<sub>2</sub>CH=CH<sub>2</sub>)Ph<sub>2</sub> or [Fe( $\eta^5$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>4</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub>)( $\eta^5$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)] and for X = NMe<sub>2</sub>, NEt<sub>2</sub>, N(CH<sub>2</sub>Ph)<sub>2</sub> and OEt; L = P<sup>n</sup>Bu<sub>3</sub>) at room temperature in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> afford good yields of the new bis(phosphine) products [W(CO)L<sub>2</sub>(S<sub>2</sub>CX)( $\eta^2$ -MeC<sub>2</sub>Me)][BPh<sub>4</sub>] (1-17). <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectroscopy suggests that the two ligated phosphines are *trans* to each other in these compounds. <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectroscopy indicates the but-2-yne ligand is donating four electrons to the metal in 1-17. The barrier to but-2-yne rotation of [W(CO)(PMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>(S<sub>2</sub>CNC<sub>4</sub>H<sub>8</sub>)( $\eta^2$ -MeC<sub>2</sub>Me)][BPh<sub>4</sub>] was calculated to be 66.9±1 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>.

## Introduction

Considerable interest has been paid to cationic alkyne complexes of molybdenum(II) and tungsten(II) in recent years. The cationic cyclopentadienyl or indenyl bis(alkyne) complexes  $[Mo(CO)(\eta^2 - RC_2R')_2(\eta^5 - C_5H_5 \text{ or } C_9H_7)][BF_4]$  [1] have been shown to be useful precursors for a diverse range of chemistry [2-6]. To date there have been reports on a range of cationic alkyne complexes of molybdenum(II) and tungsten(II) containing ligated phosphines and phosphites, generally containing a coordinated cyclopentadienyl or indenyl moiety [7,8]. There have, however, been no reports of cationic alkyne bis(phosphine) complexes of molybdenum(II) and tungsten(II) containing a dithiocarbamate or related ligand.

In recent years we have been investigating the chemistry of the versatile bis(alkyne) precursors  $[WI_2(CO)(NCMe)(\eta^2-RC_2R)_2]$  [9]. These complexes react with one equivalent of dithiocarbamates or related ligands to give the bis(alkyne) complexes  $[WI(CO)(S_2CX)(\eta^2-RC_2R)_2]$  [10]. We have recently reported the synthesis and X-ray crystal structure of the cationic bis(alkyne) complex  $[W(CO)(NCMe)-(S_2CNC_4H_8)(\eta^2-MeC_2Me)_2]$  [BPh<sub>4</sub>] [11], by treatment of  $[WI(CO)(S_2CNC_4H_8)(\eta^2-MeC_2Me)_2]$  with one molar equivalent of Na[BPh<sub>4</sub>] in acetonitrile. In this paper we wish to describe the reactions of the cationic bis(alkyne) complexes  $[W(CO)(NCMe)(S_2CX)(\eta^2-MeC_2Me)_2][BPh_4]$  with two equivalents of monodentate phosphines L (for X = NC\_4H\_8; L = PMe\_3, PMe\_2Ph, PMePh\_2, PPh\_3, PEtPh\_2, PEt\_2Ph, PEt\_3, P^nPr\_3, P^nBu\_3, PCyPh\_2, PCy\_2Ph, P(CH\_2CH=CH\_2)Ph\_2 or [Fe( $\eta^5-C_5H_4PPh_2$ )( $\eta^5-C_5H_5$ )] and for X = NMe\_2, NEt\_2, N(CH\_2Ph)\_2 or OEt, L = P^nBu\_3) to afford the bis(phosphine) products [W(CO)L\_2(S\_2CX)( $\eta^2-MeC_2Me$ )][BPh\_4].

## **Results and discussion**

The complexes  $[W(CO)(NCMe)(S_2CX)(\eta^2-MeC_2Me)_2][BPh_4]$  react with two equivalents of L (X = NC<sub>4</sub>H<sub>8</sub>; L = PMe<sub>3</sub>, PMe<sub>2</sub>Ph, PMePh<sub>2</sub>, PPh<sub>3</sub>, PEtPh<sub>2</sub>, PEt<sub>2</sub>Ph, PEt<sub>3</sub>, P<sup>n</sup>Pr<sub>3</sub>, P<sup>n</sup>Bu<sub>3</sub>, P(CH<sub>2</sub>CH=CH<sub>2</sub>)Ph<sub>2</sub>, PCyPh<sub>2</sub>, PCy<sub>2</sub>Ph or [Fe( $\eta^5$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)( $\eta^5$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>4</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub>)]; X = NMe<sub>2</sub>, NEt<sub>2</sub>, N(CH<sub>2</sub>Ph)<sub>2</sub> and OEt; L = P<sup>n</sup>Bu<sub>3</sub>) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> at room temperature to give the new acetonitrile/mono(but-2-yne) displaced products [W(CO)L<sub>2</sub>(S<sub>2</sub>CX)( $\eta^2$ -MeC<sub>2</sub>Me)][BPh<sub>4</sub>] (1-17) in good yield. Compounds 1-17 are all stable in the solid state when stored under nitrogen for prolonged periods, however, they slowly decompose when exposed to air in solution. The complexes are all very soluble in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, CHCl<sub>3</sub>, NCMe, OC(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, but are totally insoluble in hydrocarbon solvents and Et<sub>2</sub>O. The new complexes 1-17 have been fully characterised by microanalysis (C, H and N) (Table 1), IR, <sup>1</sup>H, and in selected cases <sup>13</sup>C and <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectroscopy (Tables 2-5).

The IR spectra of 1–17 all show a strong single carbonyl band around 1930 cm<sup>-1</sup> (Table 2). A weak band was also observed in the region of 1830 cm<sup>-1</sup> and has been assigned to the  $\nu(C=C)$  of the coordinated but-2-yne. These observed values are at considerably lower wavenumber than for free alkynes which is indicative of considerable interaction between both sets of alkyne  $p\pi$  orbitals and the orbitals available on the metal.

The <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectra of compounds **2**, **5**-**9** show a single phosphorus resonance with tungsten satellites. This single phosphorus resonance, for example in **2** 2.51 ppm (s, J(W-P) = 125.09 Hz), is indicative of the two phosphines being in the same environment and thus *trans* to each other. This leads to the postulation of the geometry illustrated in Fig. 1. The ligated carbon monoxide and but-2-yne ligands are *cis* to each other, as expected on theoretical grounds, and mutually *trans* to one sulphur of the coordinated dithiocarbamate ligand. This is similar to the X-ray crystallographically found geometry of the complex [MoBr<sub>2</sub>(CO)(PEt<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>( $\eta^2$ -PhC<sub>2</sub>H)] reported by Templeton and co-workers [12], shown in Fig. 2, where the two bromide ligands have been replaced by the chelating dithiocarbamate or xanthate ligand.

The room temperature <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of compounds 1–17 all show the expected features corresponding to the proposed geometry shown in Fig. 1. The but-2-yne methyl resonances all appeared as doublets; which is indicative of the but-2-yne not undergoing rapid propeller-like rotation with respect to the NMR time scale. Variable temperature <sup>1</sup>H NMR studies were undertaken to see if the but-2-yne propeller-like rotation could be observed. The only complex that could be induced to show the propeller-like fluxional process was compound 1. On heating to 302 K the doublet methyl resonance coalesced which on further heating to 315 K gave a singlet, illustrated in Fig. 3. Using the Gutowsky–Holm equation ( $\Delta G_{T_c}^{\neq} = -RT_c \ln(\pi \Delta \nu h/\sqrt{2} K_B T_c)$ ) [13,14] the barrier to but-2-yne rotation was calculated to be 66.9 ± 1 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>. Heating of the other compounds to 323 K in CDCl<sub>3</sub> didn't

Table	1

Physical and analytical data for the compounds  $[W(CO)L_2(S_2CX)(\eta^2-MeC_2Me)][BPh_4]$ 

Compound	Colour	Yield (%)	Analysis (Found (calcd.) (%))		
			c	Н	N
$[W(CO)(PMe_3)_2(S_2CNC_4H_8)-$	Blue	75	54.3	5.9	1.5
$(\eta^2 - MeC_2Me) [BPh_4] (1)$			(54.4)	(5.9)	(1.6)
$[W(CO)(PMe_2Ph)_2(S_2CNC_4H_8)-$	Blue	74	59.2	5.6	1.0
$(\eta^2 - MeC_2Me) [BPh_4] (2)$			(59.6)	(5.6)	(1.3)
$[W(CO)(PMePh_2)_2(S_2CNC_4H_8)-$	Blue	73	63.0	5.2	0.9
$(\eta^2 - MeC_2Me) [BPh_4] (3)$			(63.6)	(5.3)	(1.2)
$[W(CO)(PPh_3)_2(S_2CNC_4H_8)-$	Blue	77	63.8	5.0	0.8
$(\eta^2 - \text{MeC}_2 \text{Me})$ [BPh <sub>4</sub> ] · CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub> (4)			(63.6)	(5.0)	(1.0)
$[W(CO)(PEtPh_2)_2(S_2CNC_4H_8)-$	Blue	69	64.4	5.1	0.8
$(\eta^2 - MeC_2Me)][BPh_4]$ (5)			(64.2)	(5.0)	(1.2)
$[W(CO)(PEt_2Ph)_2(S_2CNC_4H_8)-$	Blue	71	60.6	6.2	0.9
$(\eta^2 - MeC_2Me)$ [BPh <sub>4</sub> ] (6)			(61.0)	(6.1)	(1.3)
$\{W(CO)(PEt_3)_2(S_2CNC_4H_8)\}$	Blue	84	57.3	6.9	1.6
$(\eta^2 - MeC_2Me)$ [BPh <sub>4</sub> ] (7)			(57.1)	(6.7)	(1.4)
$[W(CO)(P^nPr_3)_2(S_2CNC_4H_8)-$	Blue	83	59.5	7.5	1.2
$(\eta^2 - MeC_2Me)][BPh_4]$ (8)			(59.4)	(7.3)	(1.3)
$[W(CO)(P^nBu_3)_2(S_2CNC_4H_8)-$	Blue	78	61.3	7.8	1.2
$(\eta^2 - MeC_2Me)$ [BPh <sub>4</sub> ] (9)			(61.3)	(7.8)	(1.2)
$[W(CO)(P^nBu_3)_2(S_2CNMe_2)-$	Blue	68	60.3	7.7	0.9
$(\eta^2 - MeC_2 Me) [BPh_4] (10)$			(60.6)	(7.8)	(1.3)
$[W(CO)(P^nBu_3)_2(S_2CNEt_2)-$	Blue	72	61.2	7.8	0.9
$(\eta^2 - MeC_2Me)$ [BPh <sub>4</sub> ] (11)			(61.2)	(8.0)	(1.2)
$[W(CO)(P^n Bu_3)_2 \{S_2 CN-$	Blue	71	64.9	7.3	0.8
$(CH_2Ph)_2$ {( $\eta^2$ -MeC <sub>2</sub> Me)][BPh <sub>4</sub> ] (12)			(64.7)	(7.7)	(1.1)
$[W(CO)(P^n Bu_3)_2(S_2COEt)-$	Blue	73	60.0	7.3	-
$(\eta^2 - MeC_2Me)$ [BPh <sub>4</sub> ] (13)			(60.5)	(7.7)	-
$[W(CO)(PCyPh_2)_2(S_2CNC_4H_8)-$	Blue	72	65.0	6.0	1.1
$(\eta^2 - MeC_2Me)][BPh_4]$ (14)			(65.8)	(6.0)	(1.1)
$[W(CO)(PCy_2Ph)_2(S_2CNC_4H_8)-$	Blue	64	65.6	7.1	0.8
$(\eta^2 - MeC_2Me)][BPh_4]$ (15)			(65.7)	(6.9)	(1.1)
$[W(CO){P(CH_2CH=CH_2)Ph_2}_2$	Blue	72	65.2	5.6	1.0
$(S_2CNC_4H_8)(\eta^2-MeC_2Me)][BPh_4]$ (16)			(64.9)	(5.4)	(1.2)
$[W(CO){[FeC_5H_4PPh_2(\eta^5-C_5H_5)]}_2$	Brown	59	60.7	4.9	0.7
$(S_2CNC_4H_8)(\eta^2-MeC_2Me)]$			(61.0)	(4.8)	(0.9)
$[BPh_4] \cdot CH_2 Cl_2$ (17)					

cause any change in the observed spectra. Using the Gutowsky-Holm equation [13,14], ( $T_c > 323$  and  $\Delta \nu$  obtained from the spectra) the barriers to but-2-yne rotation are likely to be in excess of 70 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>. We reported a series of but-2-yne rotational barriers for the complexes [WI<sub>2</sub>(CO)L<sub>2</sub>( $\eta^2$ -MeC<sub>2</sub>Me)] (L = PMe<sub>3</sub>, PMe<sub>2</sub>Ph, PMePh<sub>2</sub>, PPh<sub>3</sub>, PEtPh<sub>2</sub>, PEt<sub>2</sub>Ph, PEt<sub>3</sub> and P<sup>n</sup>Bu<sub>3</sub>) [15]. The bis(trimeth-ylphosphine) complex [WI<sub>2</sub>(CO)(PMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>( $\eta^2$ -MeC<sub>2</sub>Me)] had a barrier some 24 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> less than compound 1. These observations show that subtle changes in steric and electronic properties within a complex can have substantial affects on fluxional processes.

The <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of compounds 1–3, 5–9, 14 and 16 all show the expected features in accordance with the structure proposed in Fig. 1. The alkyne contact

Compound	$\nu$ (C=O) cm <sup>-1</sup>	$\nu$ (C=C) cm <sup>-1</sup>	
1	1935 (s)	1820 (w)	
2	1938 (s)	1825 (w)	
3	1936 (s)	1830 (w)	
4	1938 (s)	1820 (w)	
5	1928 (s)	1822 (w)	
6	1925 (s)	1822 (w)	
7	1925 (s)	1825 (w)	
8	1930 (s)	1825 (w)	
9	1925 (s)	1820 (w)	
10	1930 (s)	1825 (w)	
11	1930 (s)	1820 (w)	
12	1931 (s)	1825 (w)	
13	1928 (s)	1830 (w)	
14	1920 (s)	1825 (w)	
15	1918 (s)	1820 (w)	
16	1935 (s)	1820 (w)	
17	1925 (s)	1825 (w)	

IR data <sup>a</sup> for the compounds  $[W(CO)L_2(S_2CX)(\eta^2-MeC_2Me)][BPh_4]$ 

<sup>a</sup> Spectra recorded as thin films in CHCl<sub>3</sub> between NaCl plates. s = strong, w = weak.

carbon resonances were observed above 200 ppm, which is indicative of the ligated but-2-yne utilising both sets of  $p\pi$  orbitals to donate four-electrons [16] to the tungsten, thus, allowing complexes 1-17 to obey the effective atomic number rule. A quartet around 164 ppm (J(B-C) = 49.5 Hz) is indicative of the [BPh<sub>4</sub>]<sup>-</sup> counter anion. A <sup>11</sup>B NMR spectrum of 8 shows a strong single resonance at -7.14 ppm which is again indicative of the [BPh<sub>4</sub>]<sup>-</sup> counter anion.

It is interesting to note that treatment of  $[W(CO)(NCMe)(S_2CNC_4H_8)(\eta^2-MeC_2Me)_2][BPh_4]$  with one equivalent of PPh<sub>3</sub> in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> at room temperature gave a roughly equal mixture of  $[W(CO)(NCMe)(S_2CNC_4H_8)(\eta^2-MeC_2Me)_2][BPh_4]$  and the 2:1 product  $[W(CO)(PPh_3)_2(S_2CNC_4H_8)(\eta^2-MeC_2Me)][BPh_4]$  with no evidence for the formation of the most likely 1:1 product  $[W(CO)(PPh_3)-(S_2CNC_4H_8)(\eta^2-MeC_2Me)_2][BPh_4]$  when the reaction was followed by infrared spectroscopy.

## Experimental

All the reactions described were carried out under dry nitrogen using standard Schlenk line techniques. The complexes  $[W(CO)(NCMe)(S_2CX)(\eta^2-MeC_2Me)_2]$ -[BPh<sub>4</sub>] were all prepared by the method in ref. 11, described for  $[W(CO)(NCMe)(S_2CNC_4H_8)(\eta^2-MeC_2Me)_2]$ [BPh<sub>4</sub>]. All other chemicals were purchased from commercial sources and used without further purification. IR spectra were recorded as CHCl<sub>3</sub> films between NaCl plates on a Perkin Elmer 1430 ratio recording IR spectrophotometer. <sup>1</sup>H, <sup>13</sup>C, and <sup>11</sup>B NMR spectra were recorded on either a Bruker AC 250 NMR spectrometer or a Bruker WH 400 MHz spectrometer and calibrated against tetramethylsilane. <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker WH 400 MHz spectrometer at the University of Warwick and calibrated against 85% H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>. Microanalysis (C, H and N) were determined on a Carlo Erba Elemental Analyser MOD 1106 (using helium as a carrier gas).

Table 2

Table 3

Compound	<sup>1</sup> Η (δ ppm)				
1	7.4-6.8 (m, 20H, Ph-H); 3.65 (t, 2H, NCH <sub>2</sub> , $J$ (H-H) = 6.98 Hz); 3.49 (t, 2H, NCH <sub>2</sub> , $J$ (H-H) = 6.21 Hz); 3.15 (s, 3H, $\equiv$ CMe); 3.12 (s, 3H, $\equiv$ CMe); 1.95 (m, 4H, CH <sub>2</sub> ); 1.1 (t, 9H, CH <sub>3</sub> , $J$ (P-H) = 4.14 Hz).				
2	7.45-6.8 (m, 30H, Ph-H); 3.18 (bs, 4H, NCH <sub>2</sub> ); 2.81 (s, 3H, $\equiv$ CMe); 2.63 (s, 3H, $\equiv$ CMe); 1.8 (bs, 4H, CH <sub>2</sub> ); 1.6 (t, 3H, CH <sub>3</sub> ); 1.4 (t, 3H, CH <sub>3</sub> ).				
3	7.5-6.75 (m, 40H, Ph-H); 3.05 (bs, 2H, NCH <sub>2</sub> ); 2.95 (bs, 2H, NCH <sub>2</sub> ); 2.8 (s, 3H, =CMe); 2.55 (s, 3H, =CMe); 1.89 (t, 6H, CH <sub>3</sub> ); 1.65 (m, 4H, CH <sub>2</sub> ).				
4	7.9-6.85 (m, 50H, Ph-H); 5.3 (s, 2H, $CH_2Cl_2$ ); 2.95 (bm, 4H, $NCH_2$ ); 2.72 (s, 3H, = $CMe$ ); 2.61 (s, 3H, = $CMe$ ); 2.0 (bm, 4H, $CH_2$ ).				
5	7.7-6.85 (m, 40H, Ph-H); 2.98 (bm, 4H, NCH <sub>2</sub> ); 2.82 (s, 3H, $\equiv$ CMe); 2.71 (s, 3H, $\equiv$ CMe); 2.15 (bm, 4H, CH <sub>2</sub> ); 1.65 (m, 4H, CH <sub>2</sub> ); 0.85 (m, 6H, CH <sub>3</sub> ).				
6	7.6-6.85 (m, 30H, Ph-H); 3.0 (bm, 4H, NCH <sub>2</sub> ); 2.95 (s, 3H, $\equiv$ CMe); 2.78 (s, 3H, $\equiv$ CMe); 1.98 (m, 2H, CH <sub>2</sub> ); 1.75 (m, 4H, CH <sub>2</sub> ); 1.45 (m, 2H, CH <sub>2</sub> ); 0.85 (m, 12H, CH <sub>3</sub> ).				
7	7.45-6.85 (m, 20H, Ph-H); 3.65 (t, 2H, NCH <sub>2</sub> , $J$ (H-H) = 6.73 Hz); 3.45 (t, 2H, NCH <sub>2</sub> , $J$ (H-H) = 6.72 Hz); 3.18 (s, 3H, =CMe); 3.03 (s, 3H, =CMe); 1.95 (m, 4H, CH <sub>2</sub> ); 1.55 (m, 6H, CH <sub>2</sub> ); 0.95 (m, 18H, CH <sub>3</sub> ).				
8	7.45-6.8 (m, 20H, Ph- <i>H</i> ); 3.68 (t, 2H, NC $H_2$ , $J(H-H) = 6.72$ Hz); 3.48 (t, 2H, NC $H_2$ , $J(H-H) = 6.63$ Hz); 3.17 (s, 3H, $\equiv CMe$ ); 3.05 (s, 3H, $\equiv CMe$ ); 1.95 (m, 4H, $CH_2$ ); 1.49-1.35 (bm, 24H, $CH_2$ ); 0.95 (t, 18H, $CH_3$ , $J(H-H) = 6.72$ Hz).				
9	7.48-6.79 (m, 20H, Ph- <i>H</i> ); 3.65 (t, 2H, NC $H_2$ , $J$ (H-H) = 6.46 Hz); 3.48 (t, 2H, NC $H_2$ , $J$ (H-H) = 6.46 Hz); 3.19 (s, 3H, $\equiv$ C $Me$ ); 3.05 (s, 3H, $\equiv$ C $Me$ ); 1.92 (m, 4H, C $H_2$ ); 1.55-1.19 (bm, 36H, C $H_2$ ); 0.95 (t, 18H, C $H_3$ , $J$ (H-H) = 6.98 Hz).				
10	7.48-6.8 (m, 20H, Ph-H); 3.25 (s, 3H, NCH <sub>3</sub> ); 3.09 (s, 3H, NCH <sub>3</sub> ); 2.98 (s, 3H, $\equiv CMe$ ); 2.81 (s, 3H, $\equiv CMe$ ); 1.35 (bm, 36H, CH <sub>2</sub> ); 0.95 (t, 18H, CH <sub>3</sub> , J(H-H) = 6.98 Hz).				
11	7.48-6.8 (m, 20H, Ph-H); 3.65 (q, 2H, NCH <sub>2</sub> ); 3.46 (q, 2H, NCH <sub>2</sub> ); 3.18 (s, 3H, $\equiv$ CMe); 3.07 (s, 3H, $\equiv$ CMe); 1.38 (bm, 36H, CH <sub>2</sub> ); 1.14 (m, 6H, CH <sub>3</sub> ); 0.95 (t, 18H, CH <sub>3</sub> , J(H-H) = 6.97 Hz).				
12	7.48-6.8 (m, 30H, Ph-H); 3.48 (s, 4H, NCH <sub>2</sub> ); 3.26 (s, 3H, $\equiv$ CMe); 3.09 (s, 3H, $\equiv$ CMe); 1.39 (bm, 36H, CH <sub>2</sub> ); 0.95 (t, 18H, CH <sub>3</sub> , $J$ (H-H) = 6.97 Hz).				
13	7.45-6.8 (m, 20H, Ph- <i>H</i> ); 3.62, (q, 2H, OC $H_2$ , $J(H-H) = 7$ Hz); 3.14 (s, 3H, $\equiv CMe$ ); 3.01 (s, 3H, $\equiv CMe$ ); 1.36 (bm, 39H, $CH_2 + CH_3$ ); 0.97 (t, 3H, $CH_3$ , $J(H-H) = 6.98$ Hz).				
14	7.85-6.85 (m, 40H, Ph-H); 3.25 (m, 4H, NCH <sub>2</sub> ); 2.95 (s, 3H, $\equiv$ CMe); 2.87 (s, 3H, $\equiv$ CMe); 1.85 (m, 4H, CH <sub>2</sub> ); 1.25 (m, 22H, Cy-H).				
15	7.85-6.89 (m, 30H, Ph-H); 3.30 (m, 4H, NCH <sub>2</sub> ); 2.98 (s, 3H, $\equiv$ CMe); 2.85 (s, 3H, $\equiv$ CMe); 1.92 (m, 4H, CH <sub>2</sub> ); 1.35 (m, 44H, Cy-H).				
16	7.9-6.8 (m, 40H, Ph-H); 5.16 (m, 4H, $CH_2$ ); 4.70 (m, 4H, $NCH_2$ ); 3.54 (m, 2H, $CH$ ); 3.0 (bs, 4H, $NCH_2$ ); 2.78 (s, 3H, $\equiv CMe$ ); 2.72 (s, 3H, $\equiv CMe$ ); 1.99 (m, 4H, $CH_2$ ).				
17	7.85-6.8 (m, 40H, Ph- <i>H</i> ); 5.3 (s, 2H, $CH_2Cl_2$ ); 4.35, 4.05, 3.85 (3s, 18H, $C_5H_5$ and $C_5H_4PPh_2$ ); 3.41 (m, 4H, $NCH_2$ ); 2.75 (s, 3H, $\equiv CMe$ ); 2.5 (s, 3H, $\equiv CMe$ ); 2.04 (m, 4H, $CH_2$ ).				

<sup>1</sup>H NMR data <sup>*a*</sup> for the compounds [W(CO)L<sub>2</sub>(S<sub>2</sub>CX)( $\eta^2$ -MeC<sub>2</sub>Me)][BPh<sub>4</sub>]

<sup>a</sup> Spectra recorded in CDCl<sub>3</sub> (+25°C) and referenced to SiMe<sub>4</sub>; s = singlet, d = doublet, t = triplet, q = quartet, m = multiplet.

Та	bl	e	4
	_	-	

<sup>13</sup>C NMR data <sup>*a*</sup> for the compounds [W(CO)L<sub>2</sub>(S<sub>2</sub>CNC<sub>4</sub>H<sub>8</sub>)( $\eta^2$ -MeC<sub>2</sub>Me)][BPh<sub>4</sub>]

Compound	<sup>13</sup> C (δ ppm)
1	224.67 (s, $C \equiv C$ ); 221.14 (s, $C \equiv C$ ); 212.5 (s, $C \equiv O$ ); 199.94 (s, $CS_2$ ); 165.4, 164.62, 163.83, 163.05 (q, B-C, $J(B-C) = 49.5$ Hz); 136.29, 125.44, 121.57 (3s, BPh <sub>4</sub> -C); 50.78 (s, NCH <sub>2</sub> ); 50.42 (s, NCH <sub>2</sub> ); 24.69 (s, CH <sub>2</sub> ); 24.49 (s, CH <sub>2</sub> ); 21.61 (s, $\equiv CMe$ ); 20.94 (s, $\equiv CMe$ ); 15.47 (t, PMe, $J(P-C) = 15.63$ Hz).
2	212.82 (s, $C\equiv0$ ); 199.14 (s, $CS_2$ ); 165.44, 164.66, 163.87, 163.09, (q, $B-C$ , $J(B-C) = 49.5$ Hz); 136.33, 125.43, 121.54 (3s, $BPh_4-C$ ); 134.34–127.95 (m, $Ph-C$ ); 50.31 (s, $NCH_2$ ); 49.79 (s, $NCH_2$ ); 24.54 (s, $CH_2$ ); 24.30 (s, $CH_2$ ); 21.11 (s, $\equiv CMe$ ); 20.87 (s, $\equiv CMe$ ); 15.55 (t, $PMe$ , $J(P-C) = 15.4$ Hz); 13.31 (t, $PMe$ , $J(P-C) = 16.33$ Hz).
3	224.69 (s, $C \equiv C$ ); 197.64 (s, $CS_2$ ); 165.37, 164.58, 163.81, 163.02 (q, $B-C$ , $J(B-C) = 49.5$ Hz); 136.23, 125.36, 121.42 (3s, $BPh_4-C$ ); 132.54–127.82 (m, $Ph-C$ ); 49.98 (s, $NCH_2$ ); 49.45 (s, $NCH_2$ ); 24.34 (s, $CH_2$ ); 24.12 (s, $CH_2$ ); 21.12 (s, $\equiv CMe$ ); 20.06 (s, $\equiv CMe$ ); 14.49 (t, PMe, $J(P-C) = 16.2$ Hz).
5	225.3 (s, $C \equiv C$ ); 222.37 (s, $C \equiv C$ ); 212.17 (s, $C \equiv O$ ); 198.1 (s, $CS_2$ ); 164.96, 164.47, 163.98, 163.49 (q, B-C, $J(B-C) = 49.5$ Hz); 136.24, 125.38, 121.41 (3s, BPh <sub>4</sub> -C); 135.2-127.8 (m, Ph-C); 49.92 (s, NCH <sub>2</sub> ); 49.26 (s, NCH <sub>2</sub> ); 24.35 (s, CH <sub>2</sub> ); 24.11 (s, $CH_2$ ); 22.06 (t, $PCH_2$ , $J(P-C) = 13.8$ Hz); 21.6 (s, $\equiv CMe$ ); 21.14 (s, $\equiv CMe$ ); 7.9 (s, $CH_3$ ).
6	225.9 (s, $C=C$ ); 221.6 (s, $C=C$ ); 211.94 (s, $C=O$ ); 198.7 (s, $CS_2$ ); 165.51, 164.72, 163.93, 163.15 (q, B-C, $J(B-C) = 49.5$ Hz); 136.37, 125.4, 121.5 (3s, BPh <sub>4</sub> -C); 134.72-127.68 (m, Ph-C); 49.94 (s, NCH <sub>2</sub> ); 49.44 (s, NCH <sub>2</sub> ); 24.48 (s, CH <sub>2</sub> ); 24.24 (s, CH <sub>2</sub> ); 21.59 (s, $=CMe$ ); 21.10 (s, $=CMe$ ); 18.13 (t, $PCH_2$ , $J(P-C) = 12.18$ Hz); 17.09 (t, $PCH_2$ , $J(P-C) = 14.07$ Hz); 7.67 (s, $CH_3$ ); 7.18 (s, $CH_3$ ).
7	226.23 (s, $C=C$ ); 221.07 (s, $C=C$ ); 213.04 (s, $C=O$ ); 198.04 (s, $CS_2$ ); 164.46, 164.67, 163.88, 163.1 (q, B-C, $J(B-C) = 49.5$ Hz); 136.31, 125.42, 121.51 (3s, BPh <sub>4</sub> -C); 50.71 (s, NCH <sub>2</sub> ); 50.37 (s, NCH <sub>2</sub> ); 24.65 (s, CH <sub>2</sub> ); 24.46 (s, CH <sub>2</sub> ); 21.97 (s, $=CMe$ ); 21.52 (s, $=CMe$ ); 17.20 (t, PCH <sub>2</sub> , $J(P-C) = 13$ Hz); 7.54 (s, CH <sub>3</sub> ).
8	226.25 (s, $C \equiv C$ ); 221.02 (s, $C \equiv C$ ); 212.74 (s, $C \equiv O$ ); 198.30 (s, $CS_2$ ); 165.49, 164.71, 163.91, 163.12 (q, B-C, $J(B-C) = 49.5Hz$ ); 136.32, 125.39, 121.48 (3s, BPh <sub>4</sub> -C); 50.8 (s, NCH <sub>2</sub> ); 50.41 (s, NCH <sub>2</sub> ); 27.13 (t, PCH <sub>2</sub> , $J(P-C) = 12.8$ Hz); 24.72 (s, CH <sub>2</sub> ); 24.56 (s, CH <sub>2</sub> ); 21.76 (s, $\equiv CMe$ ); 21.40 (s, $\equiv CMe$ ); 17.06 (s, CH <sub>2</sub> ); 15.5 (s, CH <sub>3</sub> ).
9	226.29 (s, $C \equiv C$ ); 220.75 (s, $C \equiv C$ ); 212.62 (s, $C \equiv O$ ); 198.25 (s, $CS_2$ ); 165.49, 164.71, 163.92, 163.14 (q, B-C, $J(B-C) = 49.5$ Hz); 136.33, 125.42, 121.49 (3s, BPh <sub>4</sub> -C); 50.41 (s, NCH <sub>2</sub> ); 50.19 (s, NCH <sub>2</sub> ); 27.14-23.79 (m, PCH <sub>2</sub> , CH <sub>2</sub> ); 21.74 (s, $\equiv CMe$ ); 21.41 (s, $\equiv CMe$ ); 13.64 (s, CH <sub>3</sub> ).
14 <sup><i>b</i></sup>	227.5 (s, $C=C$ ); 221.6 (s, $C=C$ ); 210.2 (s, $C=O$ ); 198.2 (s, $CS_2$ ); 164.96, 164.46, 163.96, 163.47 (q, B-C, $J(B-C) = 49.5$ Hz); 136.34, 125.42, 121.5 (3s, BPh <sub>4</sub> -C); 138.04-126.7 (m, Ph-C); 50.13 (s, NCH <sub>2</sub> ); 49.67 (s, NCH <sub>2</sub> ); 29.85-24.18 (m, CH <sub>2</sub> ); 21.33 (s, $=CMe$ ); 21.19 (s, $=CMe$ ).
16	224.63 (s, $C \equiv C$ ); 222.78 (s, $C \equiv C$ ); 212.75 (s, $C \equiv O$ ); 197.88 (s, $CS_2$ ); 165.5, 164.72, 163.94, 163.16 (q, B-C, $J(B-C) = 49.5$ Hz); 136.34, 125.46, 121.51 (3s, BPh <sub>4</sub> -C); 134.72-127.09 (m, Ph, $C = C$ ); 50.2 (s, NCH <sub>2</sub> ); 49.55 (s, NCH <sub>2</sub> ); 33.72 (t, PCH <sub>2</sub> , $J(P-C) = 12.6$ Hz); 24.51 (s, $CH_2$ ); 24.25 (s, $CH_2$ ); 21.87 (s, $\equiv CMe$ ); 21.28 (s, $\equiv CMe$ ).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Spectra recorded in CDCl<sub>3</sub> (+25°C) referenced to SiMe<sub>4</sub>. s = singlet, q = quartet, t = triplet, m = multiplet. <sup>b</sup> Recorded on a Bruker WH 400 MHz.

Compound	<sup>31</sup> P (δ ppm)	
2	2.51 (J(W-P) = 125.09  Hz)	
5	16.17 (J(W-P) = 128.87 Hz)	
6	10.23 (J(W-P) = 126.22 Hz)	
7	9.36 (J(W-P) = 125.12 Hz)	
8	11.63 (J(W-P) = 128.73 Hz)	
9	-10.49 (J(W-P) = 129.91 Hz)	

Table 5 <sup>31</sup>P NMR data <sup>a</sup> for the compounds [W(CO)L<sub>2</sub>(S<sub>2</sub>CNC<sub>4</sub>H<sub>8</sub>)( $\eta^2$ -MeC<sub>2</sub>Me)][BPh<sub>4</sub>]

<sup>a</sup> Spectra recorded in CDCl<sub>3</sub> and referenced to H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> (85%).

 $[W(CO)(PMe_3)_2(S_2CNC_4H_8)(\eta^2 - MeC_2Me)][BPh_4]$  (1)

A solution of  $[W(CO)(NCMe)(S_2CNC_4H_8)(\eta^2-MeC_2Me)_2][BPh_4]$  (0.8 g, 0.968 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (15 cm<sup>3</sup>) contained in a Schlenk tube was frozen in a liquid N<sub>2</sub> bath, then evacuated. PMe<sub>3</sub> (0.1473 g, 1.936 mmol) was distilled into the Schlenk tube by direct pyrolysis of [{AgI(PMe<sub>3</sub>)}<sub>4</sub>] (0.5892 g) at 200°C in vacuo. After completion of pyrolysis the reaction Schlenk was opened to N<sub>2</sub> and allowed to



Fig. 1. Proposed molecular geometry for the complexes  $[W(CO)L_2(S_2CNC_4H_8)(\eta^2-MeC_2Me)][BPh_4]$  (2, 5-9).



Fig. 2. The crystallographically found geometry for  $[MoBr_2(CO)(PEt_3)_2(\eta^2-PhC_2H)]$  [12].



Fig. 3. A diagramatical representation of the but-2-yne methyl resonances for  $[W(CO)(PMe_3)_2^-(S_2CNC_4H_8)(\eta^2-MeC_2Me)][BPh_4]$  at three temperatures; ----= 265 K, ----= 302 K,  $\times \times \times \times \times \times = 315 \text{ K}$ ;  $T_c = 302 \text{ K}$ ,  $\Delta \nu = 7.5 \text{ Hz}$ ,  $\Delta G^{\#} = 66.9 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ .

warm up to room temperature. After the reaction had been stirring for 20 h the solution was filtered and the solvent volume reduced to 2 cm<sup>3</sup> in vacuo. Dropwise addition of  $Et_2O$  precipitated the blue cationic complex  $[W(CO)(PMe_3)_2-(S_2CNC_4H_8)(\eta^2-MeC_2Me)][BPh_4]$  (1) which on recrystallisation from  $CH_2Cl_2-Et_2O$  yielded 0.64 g (75%) of pure product.

## $[W(CO)(PMe,Ph)_{3}(S_{2}CNC_{4}H_{8})(\eta^{2}-MeC_{2}Me)][BPh_{4}]$ (2)

To  $[W(CO)(NCMe)(S_2CNC_4H_8)(\eta^2-MeC_2Me)_2][BPh_4]$  (0.4 g, 0.484 mmol) dissolved in  $CH_2Cl_2$  (15 cm<sup>3</sup>) with continuous stirring under a stream of dry N<sub>2</sub> was added PMe<sub>2</sub>Ph (0.137 cm<sup>3</sup>, 0.968 mmol). After stirring for 20 h the solution was filtered and the solvent volume reduced to 2 cm<sup>3</sup> in vacuo. Dropwise addition of Et<sub>2</sub>O precipitated the blue cationic complex  $[W(CO)(PMe_2Ph)_2(S_2CNC_4H_8)(\eta^2-MeC_2Me)][BPh_4]$  (2) which on recrystallisation from  $CH_2Cl_2-Et_2O$  yielded 0.36 g (74%) of pure product.

Similar reactions of  $[W(CO)(NCMe)(S_2CNC_4H_8)(\eta^2-MeC_2Me)_2][BPh_4]$  with two equivalents of PMePh<sub>2</sub>, PPh<sub>3</sub>, PEtPh<sub>2</sub>, PEt<sub>2</sub>Ph, PEt<sub>3</sub>, P<sup>n</sup>Pr<sub>3</sub>, PCyPh<sub>2</sub>, PCy<sub>2</sub>Ph, PPh<sub>2</sub>(CH<sub>2</sub>CH=CH<sub>2</sub>) and  $[Fe(\eta^5-C_5H_4PPh_2)(\eta^5-C_5H_5)]$  in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> afforded the analogous cationic compounds  $[W(CO)L_2(S_2CNC_4H_8)(\eta^2-MeC_2Me)][BPh_4]$  (3-8 and 14–17).

# $[W(CO)(P^{n}Bu_{3})_{2}(S_{2}CNC_{4}H_{8})(\eta^{2}-MeC_{2}Me)_{2}][BPh_{4}]$ (9)

To  $[W(CO)(NCMe)(S_2CNC_4H_8)(\eta^2-MeC_2Me)_2][BPh_4]$  (0.4 g, 0.484 mmol) dissolved in  $CH_2Cl_2$  (15 cm<sup>3</sup>) with continuous stirring under a stream of dry N<sub>2</sub> was added P<sup>n</sup>Bu<sub>3</sub> (0.241 cm<sup>3</sup>, 0.968 mmol). After stirring for 20 h the solution was filtered and the solvent volume reduced to 2 cm<sup>3</sup> in vacuo. Dropwise addition of

Et<sub>2</sub>O precipitated the blue cationic complex  $[W(CO)(P^nBu_3)_2(S_2CNC_4H_8)(\eta^2-MeC_2Me)][BPh_4]$  (9) which on recrystallisation from  $CH_2Cl_2-Et_2O$  yielded 0.43 g (78%) of pure product.

Similar reactions of  $[W(CO)(NCMe)(S_2CX)(\eta^2-MeC_2Me)_2][BPh_4]$  (where  $X = NMe_2$ , NEt<sub>2</sub>, N(CH<sub>2</sub>Ph)<sub>2</sub> or OEt) with two equivalents of P<sup>n</sup>Bu<sub>3</sub> in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> afforded the analogous cationic complexes  $[W(CO)(P^nBu_3)_2(S_2CX)(\eta^2-MeC_2Me)]$ -[BPh<sub>4</sub>] (10-13).

#### Acknowledgements

We thank Dr O.W. Howarth for recording several <sup>13</sup>C and <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectra at the University of Warwick and K.R.F. thanks the S.E.R.C. for a studentship.

## References

- 1 M. Bottrill and M. Green, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., (1977) 2365.
- 2 S.R. Allen, P.K. Baker, S.G. Barnes, M. Green, L. Trollope, L.M. Muir and K.W. Muir, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., (1981) 873.
- 3 M. Green, N.C. Norman, A.G. Orpen and C.J. Schaverien, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., (1984) 2455.
- 4 M. Green, N.K. Jetha, R.J. Mercer, N.C. Norman and A.G. Orpen, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., (1988) 1843.
- 5 M. Green, Polyhedron, 5 (1986) 427 and references cited therein.
- 6 M. Green, J. Organomet. Chem., 300 (1986) 93 and references cited therein.
- 7 K. Sünkel, U. Nagel and W. Beck, J. Organomet. Chem., 222 (1981) 251.
- 8 H.G. Alt, M.E. Eichner and B.M. Jansen, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 21 (1982) 861.
- 9 E.M. Armstrong, P.K. Baker and M.G.B. Drew, Organometallics, 7 (1988) 319.
- 10 E.M. Armstrong, P.K. Baker, K.R. Flower and M.G.B. Drew, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., (1990) 2535.
- 11 P.K. Baker, M.G.B. Drew and K.R. Flower, J. Organomet. Chem., 391 (1990) C12.
- 12 P.B. Winston, S.J.N. Burgmayer, T.L. Tonker and J.L. Templeton, Organometallics, 5 (1986) 1707.
- 13 H.S. Gutowsky and C.H. Holm, J. Chem. Phys., 25 (1956) 1228.
- 14 A. Allerhand, H.S. Gutowsky, J. Jones and R.A. Meinzer, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 88 (1966) 3185.
- 15 E.M. Armstrong, P.K. Baker, M.E. Harman and M.B. Hursthouse, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., (1989) 295.
- 16 B.C. Ward and J.L. Templeton, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 102 (1980) 3288.